				Campus	s Information				
District Name	Brazosport	Campus Name	Brazos Success Academy	Superintendent	Danny Massey	Principal	Brooke Wyatt-Merritt		
				District Coordinator of			Nancy Webster, Senior Specialist for Accountability & School Improvement, Region 4 ESC		
District Number	020905	Campus Number	000000006	School Improvement (DCSI)	Ron Redden	ESC Support	Angel Lozano, Program Coordinator for Regional Accountability & Assessment, Region 4 ESC		
				As	surances				
DCSI	commitments and support i understand I am responsible	mechanisms to ensure e for the implementati	attest that I will provide or facilitate the successful implementation of th on of all intervention requirements. he plan elements as indicated hereir	an for this campus. I	Ron Redden, 9/27/2019				
Principal Supervisor (Only necessary if the DCSI is NOT the Principal supervisor)	I, as supervisor of the princi necessary district-level com Targeted Improvement Plan herein.	mitments and support		Brent Jaco, 9/27/2019					
Principal	I, as principal for this campus, attest that I will coordinate with the DCSI (and my supervisor, if they are not the same person) to use the district-provided commitments and support mechanisms to ensure the successful implementation of the Targeted Improvement Plan for this campus. I agree to carry out the plan elements as indicated herein. Brooke Wyatt-Merritt 9/27/2029								
Board Approval Date	Scheduled for November 18	3, 2019							
				Needs	s Assessment				
			What accountability goals for each Domain has your campus set for the year?	Domain 1 Goal = 82 Domain 2a Goal = 90 Domain 2b not applicable Domain 3 Goal = 66					
Data Analysis Questions What changes in student group and subject performance are included in these goals? Algebra English I English I Biology. US History					lgebra 1 Approaches Grade Level performance increase from 55% to 60% and Meets Grade Level performance increase from 6% to 8%. nglish I Meets Grade Level performance increase from 10% to 15%. nglish II Meets Grade Level performance increase from 18% to 20%. iology Approaches Grade Level performance increase from 73% to 78% and Meets Grade Level performance increase from 12% to 15%. IS History Approaches Grade Level performance increase from 76% to 80% and Meets Grade Level performance increase from 20% to 22%. Increase from to achieve performance target in the School Quality Status performance measure under Domain III.				
If applicable, what goals has your campus set for CCMR and Graduation Rate? CCMR percentage increase from 25 to 27, with a scale score of 90. Graduation rate increase from 80.5% to 82% under Domain I (grade rate with exclusions) resulting in a scale score of 77.									
			(То		essment Results npus HAS NOT had an ESF	Diagnostic)			
			Use t	the completed Self-Asse	essment Tool to complete	this section			
		Essential Act	ion			Implemen	itation Level (1 Not Yet Started - 5 Fully Implemented)		

1.1 Develop campus inst	tructional leaders with clear roles and responsibilitie	es.			3			
2.1 Recruit, select, assig	n, induct and retain a full staff of highly qualified ed	ucators.			4			
3.1 Compelling and align	ned vision, mission, goals, values focused on a safe e	environment and high expectations.		4				
4.1 Curriculum and asse	essments aligned to TEKS with a year-long scope and	sequence.			3			
5.1 Objective-driven dai	ily lesson plans with formative assessments.	<u>'</u>			2			
5.3 Data-driven instruction					2			
	Prioritized Focus Are	ea #1		Prioritized Focus Area #2	Prioritized Focus Area #3			
Essential Action	4.1 Curriculum and assessments aligned to TEKS wi	th a year-long scope and sequence.	5.1 Objective-driven daily le	esson plans with formative assessments.	5.3 Data-driven instruction.			
Rationale	Since teachers at BSA are primarily singletons, they understanding the standards and in designing lessor appropriate to a dropout recovery campus that is f graduate postsecondary ready.	ons and assessments that are	each student brings unique why they have not passed s	allenge to dropout recovery campus teachers since reasons why they have fallen behind in credits and state required exams for graduation. The gaps are cialized planning with well-supported teaching.	The campus has not created an assessment clendar with scheduled opportunities to analye performance data. The EOC subject teachers have not used individualized tracking to monitor the readiness of students to successfully meet standard on upcoming End-of-Course exams.			
Desired Annual Outcome	By the end of the 2019-2020 shool year, EOC tested write formative assessments that are fully aligned essential state standards and reflect the rigor need readiness to pass End-of-Course assessments. Tead and use with fidelity the right resource needed to see the second state of the resource of the re	to the teacher identified 4-6 led to accurately assess student chers will also be able to identify	objective-driven lesson plan and in which activites reflec	O shool year, EOC subject teachers will be writing ns aligned with the district instructional calendar, ct the effective use of resources and appropriate differentiated to the needs of all learners.	By the end of the 2019-2020 school year, teachers, with the support of district content coordinators and campus administrators, will use common protocols for analyzing data from formative assessments that were administered in alignment with the campus assessment calendar. Teachers will use findings to inform instructional and intervention planning as demonstrated by lesson plans and prime time assignments.			
Barriers to Address During the Year	Accepting that at a dropout recovery campus, teac sufficient. Time with and access to Content Coordinators to p common formative assessment creation.	-	plans. Expectations have not beer those plans. There is not a campus-level	ew lesson plans and do not provide feedback on the n established regarding lesson plans and what is in system for collecting and evaluating teacher daily created formative assessments.	We have not consistently implemented an assessment calendar. We have not implemented a standard protocol for data review meetings.			
Distric	ct Commitment Theory of Action:	Charlotte Coffman, Education Spec	ialist, Accountability and Lea	dership Solutions, Region 4 ESC				
				nostic Results				
	Data of FCF Discounting	(To be completed	AFTER the campus engage	ges in the shared diagnostic with an ESF Facilit	cator)			
	Date of ESF Diagnostic	22 #1		Prioritized Focus Area #2	Dringitized Englis Area #2			
	Prioritized Focus Are	ea #T		Prioritized Focus Area #2	Prioritized Focus Area #3			

Essential Action					
Desired Annual Outcome					
Barriers to Address During the Year					
Distric	ct Commitment Theory of Action				
Prioritized Focus Areas for Improvement		Capacit	y Builder		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	·

	Student Data													
			% of Students at Campus Determined Proficiency Level										% of Students at Meets Grade Level on STAAR or Other Assessment	
Grade level	Subject tested		Cycle 1			Cycle 2			Cycle 3			Summative		
		Data Source	Goal	Actual	Data Source	Goal	Actual	Data Source	Goal	Actual	Data Source	Goal	Actual	
HS	Algebra 1 (Retest Support) AGL	State Interim	60		STAAR	55		State Interim	58		STAAR	60		
HS	Algebra 1 (Retest Support) MGL	State Interim	8		STAAR	6		State Interim	7		STAAR	8		
HS	English I (Retest Support) AGL	State Interim	35		STAAR	32		State Interim	34		STAAR	35		
HS	English I (Retest Support) MGL	State Interim	15		STAAR	13		State Interim	14		STAAR	15		
HS	English II (Retest Support) AGL	State Interim	38		STAAR	36		State Interim	37		STAAR	38		
HS	English II (Retest Support) MGL	State Interim	18		STAAR	16		State Interim	17		STAAR	18		
HS	English II (Initial Instruction) AGL	District Interim	42		District Interim	40		Benchmark	41		STAAR	42		
HS	English II (Initial Instruction) MGL	District Interim	20		District Interim	18		Benchmark	19		STAAR	20		
HS	Biology (Retest Support) AGL	State Interim	80		STAAR	78		State Interim	79		STAAR	80		
HS	Biology (Retest Support) MGL	State Interim	11		STAAR	9		State Interim	10		STAAR	11		
HS	Biology (Initial Instuction) AGL	District Interim	86		District Interim	84		Benchmark	85		STAAR	86		
HS	Biology (Initial Instuction) AGL	District Interim	15		District Interim	13		Benchmark	14		STAAR	15		
HS	US History (Retest Support) AGL	State Interim	60		STAAR	58		State Interim	59		STAAR	60		
HS	US History (Retest Support) MGL	State Interim	4		STAAR	3		State Interim	4		STAAR	4		
HS	US History (Initial Instruction) AGL	District Interim	88		District Interim	85		Benchmark	86		STAAR	88		
HS	US History (Initial Instruction) MGL	District Interim	22		District Interim	19		Benchmark	20		STAAR	22		

				Cycle 1 90-day Outo	omes (September - No	ovember)					
	Prior	ritized Focus Ar	ea #1		Prioritized Focus Area #2			Prioritized Focus Area #3			
Essential Action	4.1 Curriculum and assessment and sequence.	nts aligned to TI	EKS with a year-long scope	5.1 Objective-driven daily les	son plans with formative asse	ssments.	5.3 Data-driven instruction.				
Desired Annual Outcome	By the end of the 2019-2020 be able to write formative ass teacher identified 4-6 essenti needed to accurately assess assessments. Teachers will alright resource needed to supply	sessments that a al state standar student readines so be able to ide	are fully aligned to the ds and reflect the rigor ss to pass End-of-Course entify and use with fidelity the	driven lesson plans aligned wactivites reflect the effective	shool year, EOC subject teach with the district instructional ca use of resources and appropr ds of all learners.	alendar, and in which	which from formative assessments that were administered in alignment with the				
Desired 90-day Outcome	By the end of the first cycle, teachers will have identified the essential state standards in EOC assessed subjects, and a support plan and schedule will be created and initially implemented with district Content Coordinators whereby they will provide curriculum and instruction support to EOC content teachers. By the end of the first cycle, teachers will reach consensus on expectations for lesson plan components and for protocols for submitting plans for review. Teachers will have reviewed the PLC process using the resource Global PD, and administered first administration TEA Interim Assessments to English II initial instruction students. By the end of the first cycle, administrators will review the protocols for condata review meetings with the EOC teachers, administrators assessments in the EOC content areas, and created a campus formative assessments in the EOC content areas, and created a campus formative assessments in the EOC content areas, and created a campus formative assessments in the EOC content areas, and created a campus formative assessments in the EOC content areas, and created a campus formative assessments in the EOC content areas, and created a campus formative assessments in the EOC content areas, and created a campus formative assessments in the EOC content areas, and created a campus formative assessments in the EOC content areas, and created a campus formative assessments in the EOC content areas, and created a campus formative assessments in the EOC content areas, and created a campus formative assessments in the EOC content areas, and created a campus formative assessments in the EOC content areas, and created a campus formative assessments are content areas.							2-3 common formative			
Barriers to Address During this Cycle	Accepting that at a dropout restandards is sufficient. Time with and access to Cont planning and common formations.	ent Coordinator	rs to partner with unit	Expectations have not been esta	esson plans and do not provide fe ablished regarding lesson plans a tem for collecting and evaluating ssments.	and what is in those plans.	We have not consistently imple We have not implemented a sta	mented an assessment calendar. Indard protocol for data review m	eetings.		
District Actions for this Cycle	The district Content Coordina the campus.	tors will provide	e direct and indirect suport to	make the uploads of student	ectronic platform to support (roster to the state testing ver appropriate accommodations	ndor, and ensure that	The district will provide direct and indirect support to teachers in formative test creation and analysis of results.				
District Commitments Theory of Action	Charlotte Coffman, Education Sp	oecialist, Account	ability and Leadership Solutions,	Region 4 ESC							
				Action	plan-Milestones						
Miles	stones	Prioritized Focus Area	Timeline	Resources Needed	Person(s) Responsible	Evidence used to Determine Progress toward Milestone	Evidence Collection Date	Progress toward Milestone	Necessary Adjustments / Next Steps		
Review the protocols for con with the EOC teachers.	Review the protocols for conducting data review meetings				Principal	Data protocol sheet	11/15/2019				

EOC content teachers

Campus administrators

Signed copies of Essential Standards Charts

11/15/2019

Frequency Charts
District Scope and Sequence
EOC Blueprints

4

2

3

10/1/2019

Consensus reached by faculty and administrators on

identifying essential state standards.

Identify 4-6 high impact readiness standards for prioritization of instruction and development.	1 2 3	10/4/2019	Google Doc	EOC content teachers Campus administrators	BSA Essential Outcomes	11/15/2019				
Set expectations for lesson plan components and for protocols for submitting plans for review.	1 2	10/8/2019	Faculty meeting PLC Agendas	Campus Administrators	Guidelines for BSA Lesson Planning	11/15/2019				
Create a campus formative assessment calendar.	2	10/8/2019	Google Calendar	Campus Administrators	Completed assessment calendar	11/15/2019				
Administer 2-3 common formative assessments in the EOC content areas.	2	10/11/2019	Eduphoria: Aware Lead4Ward Test Bank STAAR EOC Released Tests	EOC content teachers Campus administrators	Student performance reports	11/15/2019				
Review the student tracking document with teachers to prepare for full implementation of students tracking their own progress, which will begin with the second cycle.	2	10/11/2019	Student Tracking Form Student access to their own data	Campus Administrators	PLC Agendas	11/15/2019				
Support plan and schedule for Content Coordinators created and initially implemented.	2	11/1/2019		Campus Administrators & Teachers	Completed Global PD Plan	11/15/2019				
Administer TEA interim assessment to students in the initial instruction English II course.	2 3	11/5/2019	Chromebooks	English II Teacher	Individual Student Reports	11/15/2019				
	2 3									
			Reflection and Pla	nning for Next 90-Day	Cycle					
Did you achieve your desired 90-day outcome? Why or why not?										
Did you achieve your student performance goals (see Student Da	Did you achieve your student performance goals (see Student Data Tab)? Why or why not?									
	Carryover Milestones New Milestones									
Review the necessary adjustments/next steps column above. Whe working on in the next cycle? What new milestones do you need										

	Cycle 2 90-Day Outcomes (December-February)											
	Prioritized Focus Area #1	Prioritized Focus Area #2	Prioritized Focus Area #3									
Essential Action	4.1 Curriculum and assessments aligned to TEKS with a year-long scope and sequence.	5.1 Objective-driven daily lesson plans with formative assessments.	5.3 Data-driven instruction.									
Desired Annual Outcome	, ,	By the end of the 2019-2020 shool year, EOC subject teachers will be writing objective-driven lesson plans aligned with the district instructional calendar, and in which activites reflect the effective use of resources and appropriate levels of rigor, and that are differentiated to the needs of all learners.	By the end of the 2019-2020 school year, teachers, with the support of district content coordinators and campus administrators, will use common protocols for analyzing data from formative assessments that were administered in alignment with the campus assessment calendar. Teachers will use findings to inform instructional and intervention planning as demonstrated by lesson plans and prime time assignments.									
Desired 90-day Outcome	By the end of Cycle 2, teachers will have unpacked essential standards and begun writing formative assessments aligned to the depth, complexity and rigor of the standards.	By the end of the second cycle, teachers will deliver objective-driven lesson plans for review that reflect alignment with the district instructional calendar, and that include acctivites that reflect the effective use of resources and appropriate levels of rigor, and that are differentiated to the needs of all learners.	By the end of the second cycle, teachers will use the Common Protocols for Analyzing Data to analyze results from formative assessments and Curriculum-Based Assessments to identify students in need of additional support and intervention. Students will begin tracking their credit progress in credit recovery classes, and their EOC readiness in EOC classes.									
Barriers to Address During this Cycle	Accepting that at a dropout recovery campus, teaching essential learning standards is sufficient. Time with and access to Content Coordinators to partner with unit planning and common formative assessment creation.	We don't consistently review lesson plans and do not provide feedback on the plans. Expectations have not been established regarding lesson plans and what is in those plans. There is not a campus-level system for collecting and evaluating teacher daily and unit plans and teacher created formative assessments.	We have not consistently implemented an assessment calendar. We have not implemented a standard protocol for data review meetings.									
District Actions for this Cycle	The district Content Coordinators will provide direct and indirect suport to the campus.	The district Content Coordinators will provide direct and indirect suport to the campus.	The district will provide direct and indirect support with accessing and using the data analysis tools in Eduphoria: Aware and On Par: On Data Suite.									
District Commitments Theory of Action	Charlotte Coffman, Education Specialist, Accountability and Leadership Solutions,	Region 4 ESC										

	Action plan-Milestones											
Milestones	Prioritized Focus Area	Timeline	Resources Needed	Person(s) Responsible	Evidence used to Determine Progress toward Milestone	Evidence Collection Date	Progress toward Milestone	Necessary Adjustments / Next Steps				
Teachers begin using the agreed upon lesson plan template with fidelity.	1 2	12/2/2019	Lesson Plan Template	Campus Administrators & Teachers	Teacher Lesson Plans	2/18/2020						
Administrators will review lesson plans and provide feedback and coaching to teachers.	1 2	112/2/2019	Lesson Plans Lesson Plan Checklist	Campus Administrators	Teacher Lesson Plan Checklists	2/18/2020						
The administrative team will provide teachers with a set of guiding questions to use when having individual student progress conversations.	2	12/2/2019	Guided Student Reflection Questions	Campus Administrators	Student Conference Questions Teacher Conference Logs	2/18/2020						

Theory of Action

Teachers will be supported by the district Content Coordinators in unpacking student expectations, planning units and writing formative assessments based on identified essential learning standards.	2	1/7/2020	Content Coordinators Support Plan & Schedule	Teachers	Teacher Lesson Plans Teacher Formative Assessments	2/18/2020		
Teachers will provide weekly interventions based on results from three-week common formative assessments	2	1/13/2020	Common Formative Assessments Data Analysis Tool	Teachers	Teacher Lesson Plans Teacher Data Protocol Sheets Student Assessment Data	2/18/2020		
Students will track their credit recovery and EOC readiness progress, and will discuss their progress with teachers.	2	1/13/2020	Student Credit Tracking Sheet Student Essential Standards Tracking Sheet	ITaschare & Studente	Student Data Tracking Sheet Teacher Conference Logs	2/18/2020		
Administer the Admin 2 TEA Interim Assessments to English II, Biology and U.S. History initial instruction students.	2	2/7/2020	Chromebooks	English 2 Teacher, Biology Teachers, & US History Teacher	Individual Student Reports	2/18/2020		
	1 2 3							
	2							
	1 2 3							
			Reflection and Pla	anning for Next 90-Day	Cycle			
Did you achieve your desired 90-day outcome? Why or why not?								
Did you achieve your student performance goals (see Student Dat								
Devices the accessor of instruments for each store of the second	at milastana - f	ana khis ayala yalil yaya asatir.		Carryover Milestones			New Milestones	
Review the necessary adjustments/next steps column above. Who working on in the next cycle? What new milestones do you need to								

		Cycle 3 90-Day Outcomes (March-May)	
	Prioritized Focus Area #1	Prioritized Focus Area #2	Prioritized Focus Area #3
Essential Action	4.1 Curriculum and assessments aligned to TEKS with a year-long scope and sequence.	5.1 Objective-driven daily lesson plans with formative assessments.	5.3 Data-driven instruction.
Desired Annual Outcome	teacher identified 4-6 essential state standards and reflect the rigor	By the end of the 2019-2020 shool year, EOC subject teachers will be writing objective-driven lesson plans aligned with the district instructional calendar, and in which activites reflect the effective use of resources and appropriate levels of rigor, and that are differentiated to the needs of all learners.	By the end of the 2019-2020 school year, teachers, with the support of district content coordinators and campus administrators, will use common protocols for analyzing data from formative assessments that were administered in alignment with the campus assessment calendar. Teachers will use findings to inform instructional and intervention planning as demonstrated by lesson plans and prime time assignments.
Desired 90-day Outcome	By the end of the third cycle, formative assessments will be fully aligned with the 4-6 essential state standards for all EOC tested subjects. Teachers will be using the right resources effectively and with fidelity that are needed to support student learning.	By the end of the third cycle, EOC subject teachers will be writing objective-driven lesson plans aligned with the district instructional calendar, and in which activites reflect the effective use of resources and appropriate levels of rigor, and that are differentiated to the needs of all learners.	By the end of the third cycle, teachers will have used the Common Protocols for Analyzing Data from formative assessments to effectively identify student learning gaps, and will have addressed those gaps through in-class interventions, and through tutoring during Phoenix Time.
Barriers to Address During this Cycle	Accepting that at a dropout recovery campus, teaching essential learning standards is sufficient. Time with and access to Content Coordinators to partner with unit planning and common formative assessment creation.	We don't consistently review lesson plans and do not provide feedback on the plans. Expectations have not been established regarding lesson plans and what is in those plans. There is not a campus-level system for collecting and evaluating teacher daily and unit plans and teacher created formative assessments.	We have not consistently implemented an assessment calendar. We have not implemented a standard protocol for data review meetings.
District Actions for this Cycle	The district Content Coordinators will provide direct and indirect suport to the campus.	The district Content Coordinators will provide direct and indirect suport to the campus.	The district will provide direct and indirect support with accessing and using the data analysis tools in Eduphoria: Aware and On Par: On Data Suite.
District Commitments Theory of Action	Charlotte Coffman, Education Specialist, Accountability and Leadership Solutions,	Region 4 ESC	
		Action plan-Milestones	

			Action	plan-Milestones				
Milestones	Prioritized Focus Area	Timeline	Resources Needed	Person(s) Responsible	Evidence used to Determine Progress toward Milestone	Fyidence Collection Date	Progress toward Milestone	Necessary Adjustments / Next Steps
Administrators and teachers will evaluate formative assessment exemplars to identify areas of strength to be replicated, and areas of dificiency to be addressed in 2020-2021.	2	15/15/2020	Teacher Formative Assessments	Campus Administrators	Formative Assessment Evaluation Tool	5/15/2020		
Administrators will provide each individual teacher with lesson plan feedback, and help them set improvement targets for 2020-2021 as part of their annual summative evaluation.	1 2	5/15/2020	Teacher Lesson Plans	Campus Administrators	Lesson Plan Checklist	5/15/2020		

Teachers will identify essential learning standards on which students have not demonstrated sufficient mastery and provide intensive reteach and review in the final days leading up to the Spring STAAR EOC administration.	2	5/15/2020	Individual Student Data Reports		Teacher Lesson Plans Teacher Data Protocol Sheets	5/15/2020		
Administrators and teachers will evaluate the Targeted Improvement Plan and use their evaluation, along with the ESF Diagnostic Report, to plan Cycle 4 and write the 2020-2021 Targeted Improvement Plan.	<i>J 2 3</i>	5/15/2020	ESF Campus Self Assessment Tool ESF Diagnostic Report		2020-2021 Targeted Improvement Plan	5/20/2020		
	2							
	2							
	2							
	2							
	2							
	2							
			Reflection and Pla	nning for Next 90-Day	/ Cycle			
Did you achieve your desired 90-day outcome? Why or why not?								
Did you achieve your student performance goals (see Student Dat	a Tab)? Why or v	why not?						
Review the necessary adjustments/next steps column above. What milestones from this cycle will you continue working on in the next cycle? What new milestones do you need to add to the next cycle?				Carryover Milestones			New Milestones	
			END OF	YEAR REFLECTION				

	Prioritized Focus Area #1	Prioritized Focus Area #2	Prioritized Focus Area #3
Essential Action	0	0	0
Desired Annual Outcome	be able to write formative assessments that are fully aligned to the	driven lesson plans aligned with the district instructional calendar, and in which	By the end of the 2019-2020 school year, teachers, with the support of district content coordinators and campus administrators, will use common protocols for analyzing data from formative assessments that were administered in alignment with the campus
Did the campus achieve the desired outcome? Why or why not?			

Cycle 4 90-Day Action Plan (June-August)									
		The		purpose of this 90-Day action prioritizes may have changed		oming school year. e school year or based on ESF	diagnostic results.		
	Prio	oritized Focus Ar	ea #1	Prioritized Focus Area #2		Prioritized Focus Area #3			
Essential Action	By the end of the first cycle, teachers will have identified the essential state standards in EOC assesed subjects, and a support plan and schedule will be created and initially implemented with district Content Coordinators whereby they will provide curriculum and instruction support to EOC content teachers.			By the end of the first cycle, teachers will reach consensus on expectations for lesson plan components and for protocols for submitting plans for review. Teachers will have reviewed the PLC process using the resource Global PD, and administered first administration TEA Interim Assessments to English II initial instruction students.			By the end of the first cycle, administrators will review the protocols for conducting data review meetings with the EOC teachers, administered 2-3 common formative assessments in the EOC content areas, and created a campus formative assessment calendar.		
Rationale									
How will you communicate these priorities to your stakeholders? How will you invest them?									
Desired 90-Day Outcome									
Who will help the campus build capacity in this area?									
Barriers to Address									
District Actions for this Cycle									
District Commitments Theory of Action	Charlotte Coffman, Education	n Specialist, Acco	ountability and Leadership Solu	tions, Region 4 ESC					
				Action	plan-Milestones				
Miles	stones	Prioritized Focus Area	Timeline	Resources Needed	Person(s) Responsible	Evidence Used to Determine Progress Toward Milestone	Evidence Collection Date	Progress Toward Milestone	Necessary Adjustments/Next Steps
		2 3							
		2 2 3							
		1 2 3							
		1 2 3							
		1 2							

1 2 3							
1 2 3							
1 2 3 3							
1 2 3 3 3							
1 2 3 3							
Reflection and Planning for Next 90-Day Cycle							
Did you achieve your desired 90-day outcome? Why or why not?							
Did you achieve your summative student performance goals (see Student Data Tab)? Why or why not?							
Review the necessary adjustments/next steps column above. What milestones from this cycle will you continue working on in the next cycle? What new milestones do you need to add to the next cycle?		Carryover Milestones			New Milestones		